

Beam rejection and related aspects

- × There is PARIS (physics, geometry, electronics) ... but not only ...
- × Other aspects of the experiment might be critical
 - > Heavy-ion (ER) detection requires powerfull beam rejection for fusion @ 0°
 - > (Radioactive) beam properties (size, timing)

1. Beam rejection for ER detection

× Some physics cases based on fusion require :

- tagging of the reaction mechanism (ER selection)
- velocity and direction of the γ emitter
- nuclear mass A and charge Z

× Direct ER measurement -> HI analyzer @ 0°

-> mandatory beam rejection !

Coupling of PARIS with VAMOS

× Asymmetric direct kinematics :

-> gas-filled mode most efficient well suited for ER tagging and (v, angle) determination

e.g. transmission $\approx 75\%$ for $\text{Ca}(4\text{AMeV})+\text{Sm}$, unique worldwide

-> vacuum mode when (A, Z) necessary for $A \approx 150$ and few AMeV at the price of lower transmission

× Symmetric kinematics :

-> gas-filled mode for ER tagging and (v, angle) determination

-> vacuum mode when (A, Z) necessary for light systems

heavy systems with $E > 6\text{AMeV}$

× Inverse kinematics :

-> gas-filled mode for $E > 7\text{AMeV}$

× Reliability of Q parameterisations used in feasibility

× VAMOS not suited for all desired kinematical schemes

Alternatives (1)

× VAMOS @ $\theta > 0^\circ$

× Krakow Recoil Filter Detector (RFD) :

- > (1.8° - 12°) angular coverage
- > Mechanism tagging via pulse height vs TOF
- > So far :

Si (141 MeV) + Lu , O (125 MeV) + Fe, O (68 MeV) + Si,
Ar(175 MeV) + Gd , Si (160 MeV) + Yb, Si+Si

$$V_{\text{beam}} / V_{\text{ER}} = (2 - 7)$$

- > Symmetric systems for heavy masses ?
- > Inverse kinematic ?

× SPEG ?

Alternatives (2)

- × **Gammas (discrete lines, Fold)**
 - > difficult in radioactive environment
- × **Charged particle detection**
 - > access to (v , angle)
 - > HECTOR-GARFIELD like setup
 - > suited for LCP channels
- × **Neutron detection**
 - > suited for pure neutron channels
 - > influence of the radioactive environment ??

2. Beam quality aspects

- × Well defined in energy
- × Timing : below 1ns resolution ?
 - > beam detectors ?
 - > time from γ 's
- × Beam halo, spot size, losses and scattering
 - > radioactive decay of the implanted ions around the target
 - > estimate for EXOGAM2 by E.Clement and G.de France :
for $I = 5 \cdot 10^9$ pps, 30 kHz in each EXOGAM core