

D. Beaumel, IPN Orsay



PARIS meeting, Krakow, Oct. 2009



*"Flagship reactions" discussed during collaboration meeting at Huelva* 

- ✓ Representative of our Physics program
- ✓ Relevant to define our specs (simulations)

Two main topics :

> Shell structure evolution using 1-nucleon transfer reactions

- Provide E<sub>x</sub>, I (j), S
- Various probes (p,d,t, <sup>3,4</sup>He, <sup>6,7</sup>Li)
- No need go very far from stability
- Good probe of strength fragmentation
- Proton shell occupation (d,<sup>3</sup>He) → (<sup>7</sup>Li,<sup>8</sup>Be)
- > Study of pairing far from stability
  - Using (p,t) and (t,p) reactions
  - Energies of 0+ (and 2+) states, enhancement factors, ...

#### Determine the evolution of s.p.e's around N=82

#### (Cf Lol by O.Sorlin & K.L. Kratz)

#### How to proceed ?

Compare the s.p.e's between  $^{132}$ Sn (Z=50) and  $^{130}$ Cd (Z=48)

- np monopole matrix elements + occupancies properties of nuclear force
- → predict evolution of s.p.e. down to <sup>122</sup>Zr (Z=40) location of the astrophysical r process



#### Experimental tools

Transfer : (d,p) ( $\alpha$ ,<sup>3</sup>He) for valence states / (d,t) or (p,d) for occupied states

- Beam : energy : from 5 to ~10 A.MeV intensity : > 10<sup>4</sup>pps isotopes <sup>132</sup>Sn, <sup>130</sup>Cd, <sup>128</sup>Pd ! divergence ~ mrad energy resolution < 1%
- Tracking : beam position ~ 0.5mm accuracy, min. straggling, high freq, good timing
- Detector : highly segmented Si detector  $4\pi$  coverage/ see LOI Gaspard with  $\gamma$ -ray detection

Spectrometer : in principle not mandatory/ separate mass, charge states, beam rejection

#### Shell structure evolution - II 8 (a) (b) pf shell Appearance/disappearance pf shell <sup>24</sup>0<sub>16</sub> <sup>30</sup>Si<sub>16</sub> 0d<sub>3/2</sub> of magic numbers: N=20 <sup>24</sup>O (N=16) 0d3/2 0 1s<sub>1/2</sub> $1s_{1/2}$ How about in heavier nuclei? (c) (d) j\_= I - 1/2 Case of proton orbits, Z=51 1d<sub>3/2</sub> j<sub>></sub>= I +1/2 neutron

MF calculation with tensor force (T.Otsuka et al, PRL2006)

 $1d_{5/2}$ 

proton

Tensor force needed
 TF effect ~ S.O. splitting change due to n-skin

tenso

force

n

Possible study:  $^{126-134}$ Sn( $\alpha$ ,t)( $^{3}$ He,d) at ~10MeV/u

Recent study:  $i_{13/2}$ - $h_{9/2}$  gap in (stable) N=83 isotones using ( $\alpha$ ,<sup>3</sup>He) 12MeV/u

104

Neutron

number

Evolution of proton h11/2-g7/2 gap from N=64

82

<sup>A</sup>Sn( $\alpha$ ,t) ¦s.p. states ?

90 94

Energy [MeV]

0

64

10 MeV/u

GT2-D1S-EXP-

# Probing pairing evolution through pair transfer

 2-neutron (and 2-proton) transfer have been used to probe pairing <u>EX</u>: Early work by Broglia et al. (~ 70's) *What are the dynamical implications of pairing correlations ?*  Similarity between pairing field and 2-body transfer operator → use (p,t) and (t,p) reactions (L=0,S=0,T=1 transfer) "pairing model"

Shape deformations  $\leftrightarrow$  Pairing distortions

- Pairing rotations and vibrations
- ✓ Superfluid phase transitions
- ✓ Particle-pairing coupling
- ✓ GPV

**Evolution of pairing with Isospin ?** 

> More recently:

2n transfer amplitudes are sensitive to the surface enhancement of the pairing – case of <sup>132-138</sup>Sn (M.Matsuo et al.)

Now under study at IPNO : DWBA calculation of <sup>124-136</sup>Sn(p,t) reaction with HFB-QRPA form factors using various pairing interactions

### Methodology: Missing mass measurements



From SISSI/SPIRAL to Spiral 2



### Light ions (A≤40) → Heavier ions (Fission fragments) Increased level densities

Lower Incident energies  $\rightarrow$  shift of (E, $\Theta$ ) plots

To meet these challenges :
Detect particle & gamma in coincidence with high eff. "Energy tagging"

Better than 50 keV energy resolution
Use thicker targets

Improve PID of low energy particles (PSA)
Improve capability of multi-reaction studies
Integrate new light-ion targets

# **The GASPARD Collaboration**

#### • France:

- CEA-Bruyères-le-Châtel
- GANIL
- IPN-Orsay
- CEA-Saclay
- IHPC Strasbourg
- Germany:
  - GSI
- Hungary:
  - ATOMKI Debrecen
- India:
  - Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata
- Italy:
  - INFN-Catania

- Netherlands: – KVI
- Poland:
  - A. Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw
- Spain:
  - Huelva University
  - Santiago de Compostela University
  - Sevilla University
- United Kingdom:
  - CCLRC Daresbury Laboratory
  - Liverpool University
  - Paisley University
  - Surrey University

#### ~ 80 participants



# Management:

- > Project leader : D. Beaumel (IPNO)
- Management Board :
  - **D. Beaumel (IPNO)**
  - W. Catford (Surrey)
  - I. Martel (Huelva)
  - E. Pollacco (Saclay)
- Liaison with GANIL: O.Sorlin (GANIL)

# Working Groups

- Physics case
- > Physics simulations
- Silicon detectors and PSD
- FEE, C&C and DAQ
- **O.Sorlin (GANIL)** 
  - M. Labiche (Daresbury)
  - J.Duenas (Huelva)
  - F.Druillole (Saclay)
- A. Gillibert (Saclay) Targets and beam tracking
- > Design/Integration Coupling with other devices W.Catford (Surrey)



Stated during the last collaboration meeting:

> No need to detect high energy particles with crystals in  $4\pi$ 

Possible to decouple the PA and the GA

Road is open to built a PA compatible with EXOGAM2, PARIS and AGATA

# Gamma Array Specifications

#### Energie resolution

better than 50 keV (FWHM) for 1 MeV gamma-rays

### Dynamic range

- 0.1 to 5 MeV for gamma-rays
- Stops high energy light particles (~100MeV)

### > Total detection efficiency

~ 75% for 1 MeV gammas

### Granularity

- NOT determined by Doppler
- Multiparticle events detection
- Particle-gamma pile-up
- Technical aspects (size of APD/PMT,...)



# Particle Array Specifications

#### o Position resolution

~1mm resolution over ~4 $\pi$ 

**o Energy resolution – dynamic range** 

< 40 keV ; 100 keV – 1 GeV Multidynamic ranges and shaping low threshold good linearity

#### o Particle ID

- ➢ 0.2-2MeV/u: TOF
- PSD above 1.5-2 MeV/u
- E-DE beyond punch-through

#### o Low Mass budget

Low  $\gamma\text{-}\text{ray}$  absorption in the mechanics and FEE

but lots of electronics channels

→ A CHALLENGE !

#### 2 combinations under study:

"with thin layer"
 40 μm, ~3mm pitch, PSA
 300 μm, 1mm pitch
 1500 μm

# "Full digital" 300 μm, 1mm pitch, PSA 1500 μm

~ 15000 channels

# **R&D** for the Si array

# **PULSE SHAPE ANALYSIS**

#### 1) The use of strips

- 2) Energy limits
- 3) Homogeneity of the silicon wafers
- 4) Channeling effects
- 5) Charge/current input
- 6) Sampling rate/resolution
- 7) Detector thickness dependence
- 8) PSA and radiation damage...

Within **GASPARD** the program is lead by the Huelva group (Including V. Parkar, postdoc from SPIRAL2PP)

IPNO in charge of simulations of detector's response

Collaboration with the FAZIA group

# Si test telescope for GASPARD/HYDE



Possible test bench: (MUFEE+MUVI) + (PACI+MATAQ) + GANIL DAQ

# Workplan for PSA R&D (2009-2010)

- Test of BB7-1500 detectors ordered
- > Purchase strip detectors BB12 and 20  $\mu$ m
- Complete prototype telescope: mechanics and connectics
- Setup Bench
- Perform test experiment (Orsay tandem)
- Analyze data
- > PSA techniques (signal momentum, average shape, neural networks,...)
- Simulations of detector's response





Pure and windowless targets are crucial for : > Density/Energy loss <sup>132</sup>Sn(d,p) at 10 MeV/A For same  $\Delta E$  (63 MeV) CH2,10 µm N = 7.7 10<sup>19</sup> at/cm2 H H2, 61 µm N = 2.6 10<sup>20</sup> at/cm2 H factor of 3.5

Use with high intensity beams

- Less beam scattering
- Less background reactions (Need of spectrometer)



### The CHYMENE project

Cible d'HYdrogène Mince pour l'Etude des Noyaux Exotiques A. Gillibert (Saclay) <u>Collaboration:</u> IRFU/SPhN (Saclay), SBT (Grenoble), PELIN Lab. (St Petersburg) R&D is funded



Test in June 2007 of a  $H_2$  target  $\rightarrow$  Thickness  $\approx$  200  $\mu$ m

AIM: 50  $\mu$ m or below

# Simulations for GASPARD

Angel Sanchez Benitez, University of Huelva Marc Labiche, STFC Daresbury Nicolas de Séréville, IPN Orsay

Main framework: GEANT4

• Monte-Carlo simulation code written in C++

# Starting point: NPTool

- Initially developped at IPNO for simulating the MUST2 array (Adrien Matta)
- For the moment only charged particles detectors are included, but it can be easily extended to any other detector

# NPTool package

# NPSimulation:

- Efforts have been put in a flexible design:
  - Few files need to be added/modified in order to include a new detector
  - The same applies for new event generators
- Simple use:

./Simulation 60Fe.reaction gaspardFull.detector

• Output is in the ROOT format

# NPAnalysis:

- Set of tools (macros, programs) analysing the output file
- Calculate efficiency detection, excitation energy, ...

# Input files (1): Detector



Adding a new geometry is creating a new xxx.detector file

# Input files (2): Event generator



# Available geometries



Barrel with trapezoid detectors + End-caps with trapezoid and annular detectors

Sphere paved with 40 5x5 cm<sup>2</sup> square detectors

# d(132Sn,p)133Sn @ 10 MeV/A



60.17 frames per second



- 3-layer telescopes (S=5x5cm<sup>2</sup>)
- $\bullet$  300  $\mu m,$  2mm pitch
- 2 layers of 1000 μm



D<sub>Target</sub> = 10cm

# Studies in the near future

- Study of detector granularity for different physics cases and effect on excitation energy, angular resolution,...
- Acceptable target thicknesses with SPIRAL2 beams
- Effect on excitation energy when no beam tracking (high intensity beams @ SPIRAL2)
- Integrate gamma detectors / upgrade event generator Compare efficiency of MUST2+Exogam versus GASPARD + AGATA/PARIS





- > Fit inside the Agatha diameter (R 230mm)
- > Use 4 inches silicon detectors
- Distance to target ~150 mm



Hyde (Same barrel but 2 different end-caps)

Truncated icosahedra







Ph. Rosier, IPNO

#### Towards a "GaspHyde" proposal



#### Integration principle

#### Integrate silicon detectors inside a vacuum $4\pi$ detector with electronics outside







### PARIS-GASPARD meeting - Feb 2008

#### > Points discussed:

- Timescales and specifications of the two calorimeters
- How to concert the two projects ?
- Can the arrays be made compatible ?
- How to make both compatible with AGATA whose design is already fixed ?
- Could PARIS go under vacuum and be particle detector of GASPARD ?
- Joint simulations of PARIS and GASPARD

#### PARIS-GASPARD synergy group

(J.A. Scapaci (chair) D. Jenkins, A. Maj, J.P. Wieleczko (PARIS) D. Beaumel, W. Catford, M. Labiche)

Comparison specs of PARIS/GASPARD (minutes of meeting)

 $E_{\gamma} = 0.1 - 5$  MeV for GASPARD c.p. 0.1 - 50 MeV for PARIS

 $\epsilon \sim 75$  % for GASPARD c.p. > 75% for PARIS

Resolution better than 50 keV for 1 MeV (COMMON)

Granularity 100- 200 elements (COMMON)

Inner radius ~20 cm (COMMON)

<u>Key issue</u>: For GASPARD shall the crystals be used to detect high energy particles (under vacuum) ?

# **Today's "best setup" for Direct reactions**



Nice but:

- > Poor efficiency for  $\gamma$ -ray detection
- Strong limitation for targets

## Pairing vibrations

Located near closed-shells Fluctuations of the pairing field  $\rightarrow$  collective oscillations Basic modes : pair addition/removal phonons



- ➤ G.S. energies
- > G.S.  $\rightarrow$  G.S. transfer  $\sigma$
- $\succ$  G.S.  $\rightarrow$  second 0<sup>+</sup> transfer  $\sigma$

#### <u>Region around <sup>208</sup>Pb</u> (∆=0)

#### Model predictions:

- Harmonic spectrum
- Stripping N<sub>0</sub>→N<sub>0</sub>+2→N<sub>0</sub>+4... have enhanced GS transitions with 1:2:3... ratios
- Same for pickup
- > 2-phonon at 2xEg.s.
- 2-phonon state with GS/P.V. intensity ratio ~ 1

Good agreement with data



Study around <sup>132</sup>Sn using (p,t) and (t,p) reactions

## **Other reactions discussed**

- Radiative captures / Direct measurement /p nuclei
- Resonant elastic scattering
- Resonant inelastic scattering
- Inelastic scattering and angular correlation technique
- Narrow unbound states
- Gamma transitions in unbound nuclei
- Quasi-bound unbound nuclei



- Particle-gamma coincidences
  - Fact ~10 in energy resolution
  - Fact ~7 in efficiency (w/r MUST2+TIARA+EXOGAM)
- Multireaction capababilites
  - Coupled-Channels analysis
- Improve PID for light particle ToF issue
  - Tractability
  - compactness
  - Use with High Int. beams
  - t/<sup>3</sup>He; <sup>6</sup>Li/<sup>6</sup>He
- Integrate special targets
- Modularity Coupling with other devices (AGATA,..)



TOF PSD

∆E-E

E